

MINUTES

COMMUNITY CENTER FEASIBILITY TASK FORCE

3600 Tremont Road | Upper Arlington, OH 43221 614-583-5030 | upperarlingtonoh.gov

11/12/2020 | 7:00 PM

Due to the ongoing situation with COVID-19 and pursuant to H.B. 197, this Community Center Feasibility Task Force Meeting will be convened remotely via video-conference using Zoom.

The Community Center Feasibility Task Force Meeting typically welcomes comments from the public at the close of each meeting. If you would like to address the Task Force, please send a "speaker slip" email to city.council@uaoh.net by 7:15 p.m. on the day of the meeting. The speaker slip should include your name, address, email and the item you wish to address.

Once you have submitted your "speaker slip" by email, to be able to participate in the meeting you must join the Zoom Meeting.

Join Zoom Meeting

Please click this URL to join: https://zoom.us/j/95709416333

Phone: 1-312-626-6799

Meeting Code: 957 0941 6333

The meeting of the Community Center Feasibility Task Force was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chairperson Margie Pizzuti.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairperson Margie Pizzuti, Chairperson Nick Lashutka,

Supen Bowe, Yanitza Brongers-Marrero, Greg Comfort, Wendy Gomez, Merry Hamilton, Chuck Manofsky, Linda Mauger, Linda Moulakis, Brian Perera, Matt Rule, Todd

Walter, Bill Westbrook

MEMBERS ABSENT: Dianne Albrecht, Kelly Boggs-Lape

STAFF PRESENT: City Manager Steve Schoeny, Assistant City Manager Dan

Ralley, Parks & Recreation Director Debbie McLaughlin, Parks Planning & Development Manager Jeff Anderson, Community Affairs Director Emma Speight, Economic Development Director Joe Henderson, and City Clerk Ashley

Ellrod

1. Welcome/Opening Remarks

City of Upper Arlington

Chair Pizzuti welcomed everyone to the meeting and thanked the Members for their time and attention.

a. Approval of minutes of the 10/14/2020 CCFTF Meeting

Mr. Westbrook moved, seconded by Ms. Moulakis, to approve the minutes of the 10/14/2020 Community Center Feasibility Task Force Meeting.

VOTING AYE: Bowe, Brongers-Marrero, Comfort, Gomez, Hamilton, Lashutka,

Manofsky, Mauger, Moulakis, Perera, Pizzuti, Rule, Walter, and

Westbrook

VOTING NAY: None

ABSENT: Albrecht, Boggs-Lape

Motion carried.

Chair Lashutka reviewed City Council's charge to the Task Force.

b. UA City Council's Charge to the Task Force

- 1. Review history of previous efforts to develop a community center
- 2. Review findings of the UA Parks & Recreation Comprehensive Plan
- 3. Review of our existing facilities and programs including a review of options for the replacement of the existing Senior Center
- 4. Review possible locations for a community center
- 5. Review of indoor recreation/community gathering centers outside UA
- 6. Examine prospective cost scenarios including possible amenities and associated costs; public/private partnerships funding strategies for capital/operating costs;
- 7. Involve community participation in feasibility study
- 8. Provide a recommendation to City Council based on feasibility study findings to consider proceeding with Community Center in UA

2. Facilities Subcommittee Update

Ms. Nan Weir of Williams Architects and Rick Fay of OHM Advisors presented a Community Center Feasibility Task Force Presentation (attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A.)

Mr. Westbrook questioned if the two story mechanical room would dip into the usable office space. Ms. Weir said there will still be 25,000 square feet of usable space.

Facilities Subcommittee Chair Greg Comfort shared the Facilities subcommittee visited two sites. Mr. Westbrook shared he felt Prairie Township was an example of what not to do.

Mr. Comfort said the Westerville center matches closer to what they have been looking at doing in Upper Arlington.

Ms. Gomez said she found both tours very interesting. She remarked Prairie Township shared some things they would do differently and offering child care was one of them.

The Parks & Recreation Director advised if any Task Force Members would still like to take a tour to contact her or Parks Planning & Development Manager Jeff Anderson.

Mr. Comfort encouraged Task Force Members to visit the Westerville Community Center if they have never seen it.

3. Finance Subcommittee Update

Matt Rule, Finance Subcommittee Chair conveyed the Committee spent a lot of time focusing on the capital structure. He said the Committee will now circle back and use the updated cost estimates. He said the last few meetings they have been focusing on the operating budget, usage fee structures, cost recovery benchmarks, and program fees.

Leon Younger of PROS Consulting presented a Finance Subcommittee Presentation (attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit B.)

Ms. Bowe questioned if any thought was given to a student membership. Mr. Younger responded they anticipated high school and college students having the basic individual, or they could create another pass type.

Mr. Perera noted it is important to provide Council and residents with all the possible scenarios. He stated he thinks the materials do a good job of explaining that.

Ms. Bowe asked what will the community have access to for free, and if Westerville or Prairie Township had any free access or programs. Mr. Younger responded the Westerville Community Center has open house times, which they do approximately 3-4 times a year.

4. City Manager's Update

The City Manager thanked the Community Engagement Subcommittee for their patience. He said the statistically valid survey is coming out on November 16th and results will be in at the end of the month.

He presented a financial summary of the five scenarios of the Kingsdale Redevelopment (attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit C.)

The City Manager thanked the Task Force Members and advised that Staff are incredibly grateful for their work.

5. Discussion on Timeline, Recommendations and Deliverables

Chair Pizzuti reminded everyone of the upcoming schedule of meetings. The Task Force discussed the timeline of meetings. The City Manager said Staff would look at the timeline and report back.

6. Public Comment

In response to Chair Lashutka's invitation to speak, the following speakers provided comment:

 Mr. Dennis Carney said he and his wife live a short distance from the proposed complex at Kingsdale. He said it would help to have face to face conversations with residents where they can ask questions and get answers. He stated he is also concerned about the tax implications of this project. He asked if there is no tax increase, if this will still go to the ballot.

The City Manager advised Council has committed that there will be a vote of the residents for a Community Center.

There being no further business before the Community Center Feasibility Task Force, the meeting was adjourned at 9:09 p.m.

Chairperson

ATTEOT


City Clerk





Community Center Feasibility Study

Task Force Meeting 12 Nov. 2020







Community Center Feasibility Task Force



Front Row (from left): Chuck Manofsky, Matt Rule, Bill Westbrook, Greg Comfort, Nick Lashutka
Back Row: Todd Walter, Kelly Boggs-Lape, Supen Bowe, Margie Pizzuti, Linda Mauger, Merry Hamilton, Linda Moulakis, Wendy Gomez, Brian Perera
Not Pictured: Dianne Albrecht, Yanitza Brongers-Marrero

AGENDA

Welcome/Opening Remarks

Facilities Sub-Committee Update


Finance Sub-Committee Update

City Manager's Update

Discussion on Timeline, Recommendations and Deliverables

Public Comment





Community Center Feasibility Study

Facilities Sub-Committee 20 Nov. Update





Potential Sites Evaluation

Site control

Protects existing parkland


Ability for creative funding

Accessible to all modes of transportation

Supports multigenerational use

- Seniors
- Middle & high school students

Central location




Preferred Sites Selection

1. Kingsdale: 43 pts.


2. MSC: 38.5 pts.








MSC: Access Option 1



MSC: Access Option 2



Parking Analysis – MSC Site

Building Use Area

Community Center:

1st Floor: 49,000 sq.ft.

2nd Floor: 49,000 sq.ft.

Total: 98,000 sq.ft.

Existing Municipal Service Center Uses

(excludes lobby and main circulation)

City Admin. Office: 14,000 sq.ft.

Assembly 5,519 sq.ft.

Police 8,900 sq.ft.

specified 55 dedicated spaces

Parking Required

Community Center (2.5/1000): 250 spaces

(1/5 occupants)

Office (3/1000): 42 spaces

Assembly: (1/5 occupants): 80 spaces

SubTotal: 372 spaces

Shared Parking Reduction (18%) -66 spaces

Dedicated Police Parking: <u>55 spaces</u>

Total Parking Required: 361 spaces


Parking Provided:


Surface: 78 spaces

Structured Parking (4 levels) 284 spaces


Total Parking Provided: 362 spaces


LEVEL 1 PLAN






LEVEL 3 PLAN





BUILDING MASSING & PROGRAM STACKING







Parking Analysis – Kingsdale Site

Community Center Building:

Community Center: 98,000 sq.ft.

Office: 50,000 sq.ft.

Parking Required


Community Center (2.5/1000): 250 spaces

(1/5 occupants)


Office (4/1000): <u>200 spaces</u>

Total: 450 spaces


Shared Parking with developer-coordinated mixed-uses.




GROUND LEVEL PLAN / SITE PLAN




LEVEL 2 PLAN




LEVEL 3 PLAN



LEVEL 4 PLAN





LEVEL 6 PLAN OFFICE 25,000 SF 图0图图0图日日 **LEGEND** SENIORS AQUATICS ATHLETICS SENIORS & PROGRAM CHILD WATCH / INDOOR PLAY / TEEN FITNESS COMMON SPACE OFFICE ADMINISTRATION \otimes МЕСН. → ENTRANCE

7-LEVEL BUILDING + 60 PARKING STALLS LEVEL 7 PLAN OFFICE 25,000 SF **LEGEND** SENIORS AQUATICS ATHLETICS SENIORS & PROGRAM FITNESS CHILD WATCH / INDOOR PLAY / TEEN COMMON SPACE OFFICE


→ ENTRANCE


ADMINISTRATION

MECH.

TOP-MOST OFFICE LEVEL TO INCLUDE A SE FACING ROOF PATIO

BUILDING MASSING & PROGRAM STACKING







Preliminary Project Budget - Comparison

PRELIMINARY ORDER OF MAGNITUDE BUDGET - MSC SITE & KINGSDALE SITE

DESCRIPTION	MSC SITE (COMMUNITY CENTER, CITY ADMINISTRATION, POLICE)	KINGSDALE SITE (COMMUNITY CENTER)
AREA - SQUARE FEET	128,700	95,300
TOTAL HARD CONSTRUCTION COST	\$68,291,400	\$43,858,900
TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET	\$76,486,400	\$50,437,800

FOOTNOTES:


1. Assumes construction costs based on current construction year. Assume 3% per year inflation beyond June 2021 for future construction.





Community Center Feasibility Study

Finance Sub-Committee 20 Nov. Update









Facility Operations

5a-10p, 7 days per week, 362 days per year

Priority 1 = 91,190 sf

10 core spaces – e.g. Athletics, Aquatics, Fitness, etc

Revenues include:

- Daily Admissions / Drop-in
- Punch Passes
- Memberships
- Recreation Programs
- Rentals
- Vending

Expenses estimated based on staff input & comparable industry rates

UACC cost recovery goal = 80% or better

Admissions / Memberships

Daily Fees & Value Packs (10 visits)

- Facility Admission / Child Watch / Drop-in Fitness
- Toddler (3 under), Child (4-17), Adult (18-62), Senior (62+)
- Res / NR differential rates 30% premium

Memberships

- Individuals, Couples, Families (4 ppl), Seniors
- Monthly / Annual Rates 20% discount
- Res / NR differential rates 30% premium
- Basic / Premier options for all age segments
- Seniors have additional rates for Social or Healthy Lifestyle options
- Compared market rates from similar providers
 - · Mason, Dublin, Westerville, Worthington

Membership Rates

Membership Type	Rate Type	Resident		Non-Resident		Description	
		Monthly	Annual	Monthly	Annual	Description	
Basic Member	Individual	\$ 27.00	\$ 259.00	\$ 35.10	\$ 336.70	Unlimited use of facility during open recreation times Priority registration for most programs / classes (1 wk)	
	Couple	\$ 46.00	\$ 442.00	\$ 59.80	\$ 574.60		
	Family of 4	\$ 70.00	\$ 672.00	\$ 91.00	\$ 873.60		
	Senior	\$ 20.00	\$ 192.00	\$ 26.00	\$ 249.60		
Premier Member	Individual	\$ 45.00	\$ 432.00	\$ 58.50	\$ 561.60	Unlimited use of the Facility during all open recreation times Priority registration on most programs/classes (1 wk) Premier member-only extended hours 10 free guest passes Free Child Watch package for children ages 2-12 Drop-in Group Fitness classes package	
	Couple	\$ 78.00	\$ 749.00	\$ 101.40	\$ 973.70		
	Family of 4	\$ 119.00	\$1,142.00	\$ 154.70			
	Senior	\$ 34.00	\$ 326.00	\$ 44.20	\$ 423.80		
Senior Social	Senior	-	\$ 48.00	-	\$ 62.40	Access to senior lounge area for social activities	
Senior Healthy Lifestyle	Senior	-	\$ 96.00	-	\$ 124.80	Use of full facility during off-peak times	
Additional Child	Family	\$ 10.00	\$ 96.00	\$ 13.00	\$ 124.80	Each additional child added to family membership	

Membership Market

Utilized 12-Minute Drivetime

Total Population = 229,035

Ages 0-18: 17%

Ages 19-59: 65%

• Ages 60+: 18%

Assumptions: Family of 4, couples 30%

Market capture of 3% = 6,871 individuals

- Resident 85% / Non-Resident 15%
- Basic 60% / Premier 40%
- Monthly 60% / Annual 40%

Appx 5,840 residents (16% of City pop)



	Total Passes	Basic	Premier
Families of 4	598	359	239
Single Adults	2,269	1,361	908
Couples	486	292	194
Seniors	1,238	743	495
Total	4,591	2,755	1,836

Pro Forma Summary — Full Potential

Pro Forma Revenues & Expenditures

UPPER ARLINGTON COMMUNITY CENTER BASELINE: REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

Total Cost Recovery

_						
Revenues	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	6th Year
Passes	\$2,282,644.20	\$2,396,776.41	\$2,492,647.47	\$2,592,353.37	\$2,670,123.97	\$2,750,227.68
Youth Programs	\$388,449.00	\$407,871.45	\$424,186.31	\$441,153.76	\$454,388.37	\$468,020.02
Adult Programs	\$124,665.00	\$130,898.25	\$136,134.18	\$141,579.55	\$145,826.93	\$150,201.74
Seniors	\$296,228.00	\$311,039.40	\$323,480.98	\$336,420.22	\$346,512.82	\$356,908.21
Aquatics	\$85,855.00	\$90,147.75	\$93,753.66	\$97,503.81	\$100,428.92	\$103,441.79
Health & Wellness	\$126,845.00	\$133,187.25	\$138,514.74	\$144,055.33	\$148,376.99	\$152,828.30
Therapeutic Recreation	\$8,070.00	\$8,473.50	\$8,812.44	\$9,164.94	\$9,439.89	\$9,723.08
Rental / Other	\$87,885.00	\$92,279.25	\$95,970.42	\$99,809.24	\$102,803.51	\$105,887.62
Total	\$3,400,641.20	\$3,570,673.26	\$3,713,500.19	\$3,862,040.20	\$3,977,901.40	\$4,097,238.45
Expenditures	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	6th Year
Personnel Services	\$1,846,572.23	\$1,920,435.12	\$1,997,252.53	\$2,077,142.63	\$2,160,228.34	\$2,246,637.47
Supplies	\$173,500.00	\$178,705.00	\$184,066.15	\$189,588.13	\$195,275.78	\$201,134.05
Other Services & Charges	\$1,118,476.62	\$1,163,215.69	\$1,209,744.32	\$1,258,134.09	\$1,308,459.45	\$1,360,797.83
Total	\$3,138,548.86	\$3,262,355.81	\$3,391,063.00	\$3,524,864.85	\$3,663,963.57	\$3,808,569.35
Capital Outlay	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	6th Year
Maintenance Endowment Fund (5% of revenue)	\$170,032.06	\$178,533.66	\$185,675.01	\$193,102.01	\$198,895.07	\$204,861.92
Total	\$170,032.06	\$178,533.66	\$185,675.01	\$193,102.01	\$198,895.07	\$204,861.92
Total Gain / Loss (less Maint. Endowment Fund	\$92,060.28	\$129,783.78	\$136,762.19	\$144,073.33	\$115,042.77	\$83,807.17

104%

104%

104%

103%

102%

This forecast represents near full potential for the site and may be subject to change based on size of the final design, partnerships, and participation levels. This model assumes a 3% market capture for memberships and programs achieving 70% of max capacity. The expected cost recovery range could be between 75%-105%.

103%

Pro Forma Summary — Conservative

Pro Forma Revenues & Expenditures

UPPER ARLINGTON COMMUNITY CENTER
BASELINE: REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

Total Cost Recovery

Revenues	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	6th Year
Passes	\$1,514,569.60	\$1,590,298.08	\$1,653,910.00	\$1,720,066.40	\$1,771,668.40	\$1,824,818.45
Youth Programs	\$276,358.00	\$290,175.90	\$301,782.94	\$313,854.25	\$323,269.88	\$332,967.98
Adult Programs	\$88,383.00	\$92,802.15	\$96,514.24	\$100,374.81	\$103,386.05	\$106,487.63
Seniors	\$209,639.00	\$220,120.95	\$228,925.79	\$238,082.82	\$245,225.30	\$252,582.06
Aquatics	\$70,615.00	\$74,145.75	\$77,111.58	\$80,196.04	\$82,601.92	\$85,079.98
Health & Wellness	\$126,845.00	\$133,187.25	\$138,514.74	\$144,055.33	\$148,376.99	\$152,828.30
Therapeutic Recreation	\$5,610.00	\$5,890.50	\$6,126.12	\$6,371.16	\$6,562.30	\$6,759.17
Rental / Other	\$46,012.50	\$48,313.13	\$50,245.65	\$52,255.48	\$53,823.14	\$55,437.83
Total	\$2,338,032.10	\$2,454,933.71	\$2,553,131.05	\$2,655,256.30	\$2,734,913.98	\$2,816,961.40
Expenditures	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	6th Year
Personnel Services	\$1,846,431.83	\$1,920,289.11	\$1,997,100.67	\$2,076,984.70	\$2,160,064.09	\$2,246,466.65
Supplies	\$156,500.00	\$161,195.00	\$166,030.85	\$171,011.78	\$176,142.13	\$181,426.39
Other Services & Charges	\$961,660.14	\$1,000,126.55	\$1,040,131.61	\$1,081,736.87	\$1,125,006.35	\$1,170,006.60
Total	\$2,964,591.98	\$3,081,610.66	\$3,203,263.13	\$3,329,733.35	\$3,461,212.56	\$3,597,899.65
Capital Outlay	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	6th Year
Maintenance Endowment Fund (5% of revenue)	\$116,901.61	\$122,746.69	\$127,656.55	\$132,762.81	\$136,745.70	\$140,848.07
Total	\$116,901.61	\$122,746.69	\$127,656.55	\$132,762.81	\$136,745.70	\$140,848.07
Total Gain / Loss (less Maint. Endowment Fund	(\$743,461.48)	(\$749,423.64)	(\$777,788.63)	(\$807,239.87)	(\$863,044.28)	(\$921,786.31)

77%

77%

77%

76%

75%

This forecast represents a 33% reduction to the original membership forecast, a 50% reduction in daily admissions / value packs, participation rate of 50% of program capacity, and reduction to the rentals forecast of 33%-50%.

76%

Upcoming Dates

Task Force	November 12, 7:00 pm			
Community Survey	November 16-20			
Finance Sub-Committee	November 17, 12:00 pm			
Facilities Sub-Committee	December 2, 4:00 pm			
Community Meeting	December 2, 7:00 pm			
Task Force	December 9, 7:00 pm			
Council Presentation	December 16, 7:30 pm			
Council Presentation	January 11, 7:30 pm			



ThankYou










EXHIBIT C


Kingsdale Development Agreement

November 2, 2020

Steven R. Schoeny, City Manager
Joe Henderson, Economic Development Director








Continental's Kingsdale Proposal

Continental's Kingsdale Proposal with a Community Center







Continental's Kingsdale Proposal

- Apartment Building (East Building)
 - 5 stories of apartments above 2 stories of parking
 - 363 units
- Senior Housing
 - 6 stories
 - 104 units
- Restaurant Space
 - 6,000 SF
- Mixed Use Building
 - 9 total stories (approx. 110 ft)
 - 5 stories of apartments (75 units)
 - 2 stories of office space (50,000 SF)
 - 2 stories of parking

Continental's Kingsdale Proposal with Community Center

- Apartment Building (East Building)
 - 5 stories of apartments above 2 stories of garage
 - 363 units
- Senior Housing
 - 6 stories
 - 104 units
- Restaurant Space
 - 6,000 SF
- Community Center
 - 7 total stories (approx. 110 ft)
 - 5 stories of community center (100,000 SF)
 - 2 stories of office space (50,000 SF)
 - Estimated 60 ground floor parking spaces



Continental's Kingsdale Proposal

- 756 on-site parking spaces
 - 562 spaces in east building parking garage
 - 15 spaces at senior housing
 - 44 spaces on street within site
 - 135 spaces in mixed use building
- 150 shared parking spaces at Giant Eagle*
- 906 total spaces provided

Continental's Kingsdale Proposal with Community Center

- 681 on-site parking spaces
 - 562 spaces in east building parking garage
 - 15 spaces at senior housing
 - 44 spaces on street within site
 - 60 spaces in community center
- 150 shared parking spaces at Giant Eagle*
- 831 total spaces provided



* The 150 shared spaces will be suitable for only short-term parking uses – community center, office visitors, apartment visitors, etc.

Proposed Development Agreement Highlights Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Data

C.C. at Kingsdale

+/- 100K Community Center

+/- 50K Office Space

30 Year TIF

- \$17.25 million from TIF to developer
- Approx. \$17.9 million to City
- \$123,760 annually and millage increases to UA Schools

C.C. elsewhere

+/- 100K Apartments (75 Units)

+/- 50K Office Space

30 Year TIF

- \$16.5 million from TIF to developer
- Developer owns office
- Approx. \$24.6 million to City
- \$123,760 and millage increases to UA Schools

No C.C.

+/- 100K Apartments (75 Units)

+/- 50K Office Space

30 Year TIF

- \$16.5 million from TIF to developer
- Developer owns office
- \$810K per year to UA Schools (50% of school millage)
- \$199K to City per year



Other items proposed to Upper Arlington Schools

- For a nominal fee, the City will sell to the Schools, demolish and grade the current Senior Center site at 1945 Ridgeview Road (parcel # 070-014317), once the Community Center has been completed and become operational. Estimated value \$4 million.
- The City will pay for angled parking along Brandon Road adjacent to Upper Arlington High School. (\$707,000 in 2021 Capital Improvement Budget)
- The City will assist the Schools with plans for the sale and redevelopment/reuse of its administrative offices at 1950 North Mallway Road (Parcel #s 070-002579 & 070-002580).
- The Schools will be afforded the ability to utilize the Community Center for agreed to programming and for any emergency situation similar to COVID-19 where additional space might be required.



Other Terms and Conditions with Continental

C.C. at Kingsdale

- City owns parcel & building
- Parking garage is public
- City backs TIF bonds

C.C. elsewhere

- Continental builds & owns office
- Parking garage is public
- City backs TIF bonds

No C.C.

- Continental builds & owns office
- Parking garage is public
- No City backing of TIF bonds



Key Benefits in Addition to a Community Center Opportunity

- Will guarantee the City 50,000 square feet of class A office space within Kingsdale, with a projected income tax of \$450,000 annually.
- Provides additional housing options within Upper Arlington and more specifically the Kingsdale area.
- Provides a significant number of patrons that will be within walking distance of existing retail, restaurants, offices and medical businesses.
- Provides 104 units of senior housing, a needed housing stock within Upper Arlington.
- Removes the largest source of blight in UA.



Real Estate Market Trends

- No developer would propose 50,000 of Class A Office.
 - Kingsdale is not a prime market for office users.
- Minimal retail developments have occurred without being a part of larger, mixeduse projects in the Columbus Region. This trend is not likely to change following COVID-19.
- Without a TIF, developers would not propose an office/commercial/mixed-use development that meets the PMUD zoning for this site. The most likely proposals to come forward would be auto oriented, such as drive-through restaurants, banks, etc.







"Town center with a mix of uses: office, retail, residential and civic. This will be the City's central gathering place home to major community events and traditions." - UA Master Plan



